Translational Research

Home Page: www.transresurology.com

The Significance of Intrarenal Pressures during Upper Tract Endourological Procedures and Its Relation to Postoperative Complications

Abdolreza Mohammadi

Ebnesina Hospital, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

HIGHLIGHTS

Editorial

• The safe intrarenal pressures to avoid endourological procedure complications are different in various surgeries. The most cited pressure in the literature is lower than 30cm H₂O.

• In semi-rigid ureteroscopic procedures use of a smaller-size ureteroscope with continuous flow and avoiding of manual pressure system is recommended.

• The IRP and its obvious relation to postoperative complications should be considered an important factor in daily endourology practice.

ARTICLE INFO

Receive Date: 11 June 2023 Accept Date: 17 August 2023 Avaliable online: 17 September 2023 DOI: <u>10.22034/TRU.2023.415906.1160</u>

*Corresponding Author: Abdolreza Mohammadi Email: <u>Ab2rezamohammadi@yahoo.com</u> Address: Ebnesina Hospital, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Editorial: Endourological procedures of the upper urinary tract including ureteroscopy (URS), Retrograde intrarenal surgery (RIRS), and percutaneous nephrolithotomy (PCNL) are the primary modalities to the management of renal stones and other pathologies of the urinary tract. During these surgeries, the appropriate irrigation flow and irrigation pressure are essential for better visualization of

the urinary tract (1). However, some conditions need more irrigation pressure to better view that may result in increasing intrarenal pressure (IRP), and consequently backflow of fluid including pyelosinous, pyelotubular, pyelointerstitial, pyelolymphatic, and pyelovenous backflow. These backflows may result in complications such as fornix rupture, perinephric fluid collections, and

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International license (<u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/</u>). Noncommercial uses of the work are permitted, provided the original work is properly cited. Copyright © 2023 Urology Research Center (URC).

ABSTRACT

The safe intrarenal pressures to avoid endourological procedure complications are different in various surgeries, but the most cited pressure in the literature is lower than $30 \text{cm H}_2\text{O}$. In semi-rigid ureteroscopic procedures use of a smaller-size ureteroscope with continuous flow and avoiding of manual pressure system is recommended. In RIRS surgery with a suction system, larger UAS, and smaller size fURS are recommended. During the miniaturized PCNL approach use of the suction system is strongly recommended. The use of intraluminal drug administration to relax the ureteral muscle is controversial but may be beneficial. According to the shreds of evidence, the IRP and its obvious relation to postoperative complications should be considered an important factor in daily endourology practice.

Keywords: Intrarenal Pressures; Postoperative Complications; Endourology

most importantly complication of urosepsis. The normal IRP in an unobstructed urinary tract system varies from zero to a few H_2O (10-15cm H_2O). The exact range of IRP that can result in pyelovenous backflow and kidney damage is controversial. The minimum pressure that pyelotubular backflow can be reported 13.6cm H_2O and the maximum was 40cm H_2O . The fornix rupture and hemorrhagic complications were reported in IRP more than 80-100cm H_2O (2).

The safe intrarenal pressures to avoid endourological procedure complications are different in various surgeries, but the most cited pressure in the literature is lower than $30 \text{ cm H}_2\text{O}$. In this editorial, we review the normal and abnormal range of IRPs in different procedures.

During the RIRS multiple factors determine the final IRP. In a review of the literature, using the ureteral access sheath (UAS) (vs. not using UAS), the larger size of the access sheath (12/14 vs. 10/12), and smaller size of flexible ureteroscope (8.7 vs. 9.5Fr), all are influential on decreasing the IRP. Also, during RIRS, tremendously high IRP values are described with forceful roller hand pumps or manual syringe irrigation compared to gravity irrigation or automated pump irrigation systems. The new modern automated design preserves the flow rate at 50–150mL/min, subsequently, the IRP value maintains between 20-40cm H_2O . To sum up, in RIRS surgery the lowest IRP will be achieved by an irrigation/suction pump system, larger UAS (12/14Fr), and miniaturized flexible URS (3, 4).

The continuous flow of a semi-rigid standard ureteroscope can provide a 100 times higher flow capacity while simultaneously preserving IRPs of 15cm H_2O and <20cm H_2O at an irrigation solution. The new design of a smaller semi-rigid ureteroscope (4.8Fr) is an important cause of reducing the IRP. Also, the use of retropulsion devices such as stone cones, anti-retropulsion gel, and coils has a beneficial effect on avoiding the increase in IRP by limiting the flow into the renal pelvis. The use of a new lithotripter system such as Trilogy by EMS-Swiss system that has a suction system can result in lower urinary tract pressure (5, 6).

In standard PCNL (s-PCNL) the determining factors of IRP are the flow rate, size of access sheath, and nephroscope size. By using the standard size of the sheath (28-30Fr) and 26 Fr nephroscope the IRP rarely exceeds 40 cm H_2O . With the introduction of miniaturized PCNL procedures such as mini-PCNL, Ultra-mini PCNL, and recently micro-PCNL the increase in IRP will be evident due to the decreasing size of the access sheaths. In minimally invasive PCNL methods it recommended the use of a suction system and simultaneously the use of a ureteral catheter with large holes resulting in a decrease of the IRP (7, 8).

Another way to reduce the IRP is the use of $\beta 2$ and $\beta 3$ adrenergic drugs such as isoproterenol during the surgery to relax the ureteral smooth muscle and consequently, control the IRP. These drugs can be administrated by retrograde (ureteral catheter) or antegrade (percutaneous nephrostomy) (9, 10).

In summary, in URS use of a smaller-size ureteroscope with continuous flow and avoiding of manual pressure system is recommended. In RIRS surgery with a suction system, larger UAS, and smaller size fURS are recommended. During the miniaturized PCNL approach use of the suction system is strongly recommended. The use of intraluminal drug administration to relax the ureteral muscle is controversial but may be beneficial. According to the shreds of evidence, the IRP and its obvious relation to postoperative complications should be considered an important factor in daily endourology practice.

Conclusions

The safe intrarenal pressures to avoid endourological procedure complications are different in various surgeries, but the most cited pressure in the literature is lower than $30 \text{ cm H}_2\text{O}$. This cut-off should be considered an important factor in daily endourology practice.

Authors' contributions

Not Applicable.

Acknowledgements

Not Applicable.

Conflict of interest

The author declares that there is no conflict of interest.

Funding

There is no funding.

Ethics statement

Not Applicable.

Data availability

None.

Abbreviations

- IRP Increasing intrarenal pressure
- PCNL Percutaneous nephrolithotomy
- RIRS Retrograde intrarenal surgery
- UAS Ureteral access sheath
- URS Ureteroscopy

References

1. Noureldin YA, Kallidonis P, Ntasiotis P, Adamou C, Zazas E, Liatsikos EN. The effect of irrigation power and ureteral access sheath diameter on the maximal intra-pelvic pressure during ureteroscopy: in vivo experimental study in a live anesthetized pig. Journal of Endourology. 2019;33(9):725-9.

2. Kukreja R, Desai M, Sabnis RB, Patel S. Fluid absorption during percutaneous nephrolithotomy: does it matter? Journal of endourology. 2002;16(4):221-4.

3. Ng YH, Somani BK, Dennison A, Kata S, Nabi G, Brown S. Irrigant flow and intrarenal pressure during flexible ureteroscopy: the effect of different access sheaths, working channel instruments, and hydrostatic pressure. Journal of endourology. 2010;24(12):1915-20.

4. Sener TE, Cloutier J, Villa L, Marson F, Buttice S, Doizi S, et al. Can we provide low intrarenal pressures with good irrigation flow by decreasing the size of ureteral access sheaths? Journal of Endourology. 2016;30(1):49-55.

5. Cai Y, Li X, Zhu B, Chen R, Ye C, Wang Y, et al. A practical pressure measuring method for the upper urinary tract during ureteroscopy. Clinical and Investigative Medicine. 2012:E322-E6.

6. Large T, Nottingham C, Brinkman E, Agarwal D, Ferrero A, Sourial M, et al. Multi-institutional prospective randomized control trial of novel intracorporeal lithotripters: ShockPulse-SE vs Trilogy Trial. Journal of endourology. 2021;35(9):1326-32.

7. Xu S, Shi H, Zhu J, Wang Y, Cao Y, Li K, et al. A prospective comparative study of haemodynamic, electrolyte, and metabolic changes during percutaneous nephrolithotomy and minimally invasive percutaneous nephrolithotomy. World journal of urology. 2014;32:1275-80.

8. Tepeler A, Akman T, Silay MS, Akcay M, Ersoz C, Kalkan S, et al. Comparison of intrarenal pelvic pressure during micro-percutaneous nephrolithotomy and conventional percutaneous nephrolithotomy. Urolithiasis. 2014;42:275-9.

9. Jung H, Jakobsen J, Mortensen J, Osther P, Djurhuus J. Irrigation with isoproterenol diminishes increases in pelvic pressure without side-effects during ureterorenoscopy: a randomized controlled study in a porcine model. Scandinavian journal of urology and nephrology. 2008;42(1):7-11.

10. Lee CX, Cheah JH, Soule CK, Ding H, Whittaker CA, Karhohs K, et al. Identification and local delivery of vasodilators for the reduction of ureteral contractions. Nature biomedical engineering. 2020;4(1):28-39.

Author (s) biosketches Mohammadi A, MD, Ebnesina Hospital, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. Email: <u>Ab2rezamohammadi@yahoo.com</u>

How to cite this article

Mohammadi A. The Significance of Intrarenal Pressures during Upper Tract Endourological Procedures and Its Relation to Postoperative Complications. Translational Research in Urology. 2023 Sep 5(3): 102-104.

DOI: <u>10.22034/TRU.2023.415906.1160</u>

URL: https://www.transresurology.com/article_179184.html

